

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

AUG 23 2004

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

BATAVIA, ILLINOIS RESIDENTS)
OPPOSED TO SITING OF WASTE)
TRANSFER STATION)

Petitioner,)

v.)

PCB 05-1
(Third-Party Pollution Control
Siting Appeal)

APPLICATION OF ONYX WASTE)
SERVICES MIDWEST, INC. FOR)
LOCAL SITING APPROVAL FOR)
A SOLID WASTE TRANSFER)
STATION)

and)


CITY OF BATAVIA, ILLINOIS)

Respondents.)

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: See Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that we have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Pollution Control Board **ONYX WASTE SERVICES MIDWEST, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE ITS MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE BOARD'S JULY 22 2004 ORDER and APPEARANCE**, copies of which are attached and hereby served upon you.

By: 


Gerald P. Callaghan
Paul A. Duffy
Freeborn & Peters LLP
311 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
312-360-6000
Dated: August 23, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that he has caused a copy of Respondent **ONYX WASTE SERVICES MIDWEST, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE ITS MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE BOARD'S JULY 22 2004 ORDER and APPEARANCE** to be delivered by hand delivery to:

Dorothy M. Gunn
Illinois Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph, Suite 11-500
Chicago, IL 60601

on August 23, 2004. The Petition did not identify an address or location to allow for service on the Petitioner.



A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'Dorothy M. Gunn', is written over a horizontal line.

AUG 23 2004

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

BATAVIA, ILLINOIS RESIDENTS)
OPPOSED TO SITING OF WASTE)
TRANSFER STATION)

Petitioner,)

v.)

APPLICATION OF ONYX WASTE)
SERVICES MIDWEST, INC. FOR)
LOCAL SITING APPROVAL FOR)
A SOLID WASTE TRANSFER)
STATION)

and)

CITY OF BATAVIA, ILLINOIS)

Respondents.)

PCB 05-1
(Third-Party Pollution Control Facility
Siting Appeal)


APPEARANCE

The undersigned attorney hereby enters the appearance of the Respondent, ONYX WASTE SERVICES MIDWEST, INC.

Respectfully submitted,

ONYX WASTE SERVICES MIDWEST,
INC.

By: _____



One of Its Attorneys

Gerald P. Callaghan
Paul A. Duffy
FREEBORN & PETERS LLP
311 South Wacker Drive, Suite 3000
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 360-6000
Date: August 23, 2004

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

AUG 23 2004

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

BATAVIA, ILLINOIS RESIDENTS)
OPPOSED TO SITING OF WASTE)
TRANSFER STATION)

Petitioner,)

v.)

PCB 05-1
(Third-Party Pollution Control Facility
Siting Appeal)

APPLICATION OF ONYX WASTE)
SERVICES MIDWEST, INC. FOR LOCAL)
SITING APPROVAL FOR A SOLID)
WASTE TRANSFER STATION)

and)

CITY OF BATAVIA, ILLINOIS)

Respondents.)

**ONYX WASTE SERVICES MIDWEST, INC.'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE
ITS MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE BOARD'S JULY 22 2004 ORDER**

Respondent Onyx Waste Services Midwest, Inc. ("Onyx"), by its undersigned attorneys and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 101.516, hereby moves the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for summary judgment as to the July 10, 2004 "Petition For Review/Appeal To Contest Siting Of Waste Transfer Station In Batavia, Illinois" ("Petition") filed by "Batavia, Illinois Residents Opposed To Siting OF Waste Transfer Station." There is no genuine issue as to the fact that the Petitioner failed to participate in the public hearing on siting approval for Onyx. The Board lacks subject-matter jurisdiction over the Petition and Onyx is entitled to judgment as a matter of law as to the entire Petition.

In the alternative, Onyx moves the Board pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code §§ 101.520 and 101.904, to reconsider and modify its July 22, 2004 Order ("Order") to strike the extension it purports to give to the Board's 120-day statutory decision deadline, and to strike the permission it purports to give an individual to file a petition to review Batavia's local siting approval beyond the 35-day statutory deadline.

In support of its Motions, Onyx states as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1. Onyx filed a request (the "Application") with the City of Batavia ("City") on December 19, 2003 for local siting approval to construct a solid waste transfer station at 766 Hunter Drive, Batavia, Illinois, in accordance with the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/39.2 and the City's Siting Ordinance for Pollution Control Facilities, Ordinance No. 02-10 (the "Ordinance"). *See* Recklaus Affidavit ("Aff.," Tab A hereto) at ¶ 3.

2. The City established a Pollution Control Facility Committee ("PCF Committee") comprised of seven City Council members, which held a public hearing from April 12 through 16, 2004 regarding the Application. *Id.* at ¶¶ 3-4.

3. After the public hearing and subsequent public comment period required under the Act and the Ordinance, the PCF Committee recommended approval of the Request. *Id.* at ¶

6. The City Council followed the PCF Committee's recommendations and approved the Application on June 7, 2004. *Id.* The PCF Committee and City Council each specifically concluded that Onyx and the PCF Committee complied with all applicable requirements of both the Act and the Ordinance. *Id.*

4. The Petitioner did not participate in the public hearing on the Application that the City held from April 12 through 16, 2004. *Id.* at ¶¶ 8, 10. Additionally, the individual who signed a notice of filing for the Petition did not participate in the public hearing. *Id.* at ¶¶ 9-10.

ARGUMENT

ONYX IS ENTITLED TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT BECAUSE THE BOARD LACKS SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION OVER THE PETITION

5. The Petitioner's failure to participate in the April 12-16, 2004 public hearing bars the Board from exercising subject-matter jurisdiction over the Petition under 415 ILCS 5/40.1, and Onyx is entitled to summary judgment.

6. A party may move the Board for summary judgment at any time after the opposing party has appeared (or after the expiration of time within which any party was required to appear) for all or any part of the relief sought. 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 101.516. The Board must enter summary judgment if the record, including pleadings, depositions and admissions on file, together with any affidavits, shows that there is no genuine issue of material fact, and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Id.*; see also *Dowd v. Dowd, Ltd. v. Gleason*, 181 Ill. 2d 460, 483, 693 N.E.2d 358, 370 (1998). In ruling on a motion for summary judgment, the Board must consider the pleadings, depositions, and affidavits strictly against the movant and in favor of the opposing party, and grant summary judgment when the movant's right to it is free and clear from doubt. Additionally, a party opposing a motion for summary judgment may not rest on its pleadings, but must present a factual basis which would arguably entitle it to judgment. *Gauthier v. Westfall*, 266 Ill. App. 3d 213, 219, 639 N.E.2d 994, 999 (2d Dist. 1994); see also *United Disposal of Bradley, Inc. v. IEPA*, PCB No. 03-235, 2004 Ill. ENV LEXIS 337 (Jun. 17, 2004).

7. The Board is a creation of statute and as such any power or authority it claims must be expressly stated in the Act. *Granite City Steel v. IPCB*, 155 Ill.2d 149, 613 N.E.2d 719 (1993); *Shepard et al v. IPCB*, 272 Ill.App.3d 764, 651 N.E.2d 555 (2nd Dist. 1995). The Board has no greater powers than those the legislature confers upon it. *Village of Lombard v. Pollution Control Board*, 66 Ill.2d 503, 506, 363 N.E.2d 814 (1977). The Board lacks subject-matter

jurisdiction to act outside of the express grant of authority. *Ogle County Board v. Pollution Control Bd.*, 272 Ill. App. 3d 184, 649 N.E.2d 545 (2d Dist. 1995); *Business and Professional People for the Public Interest v. Illinois Commerce Comm'n.*, 136 Ill. 2d 192, 243, 555 N.E.2d 693 (1989).

8. Section 40.1(b) only allows a third party to challenge a local siting decisions if it “participated in the public hearing conducted by the ... governing body...” 415 ILCS 5/40.1(b). The Act does not confer subject-matter jurisdiction for the Board to evaluate a petition from a anyone who failed to participate in the public hearing. 415 ILCS 5/40.1(b).

9. The Petitioner did not participate in any phase the public hearing. *See* Aff. at ¶¶ 8, 10. In particular, the Petitioner did not file an appearance for the hearing; did not question or cross-examine any witness; and did not offer any comment during the public hearing. *Id.* There was also no attorney or other entity representing the Petitioner that participated in any aspect of the public hearing. *Id.* The public hearing transcripts confirm that neither the Petitioner nor any entity representing it participated in any aspect of the public hearing. *Id.* at ¶ 10.

10. The Petition lacks any allegation that the Petitioner participated in the public hearing, and furthermore lacks any allegation that contradicts any of the facts set forth in this Motion for Summary Judgment.

11. The Petitioner’s failure to participate in the public hearing is a complete bar to challenging the City’s siting approval under 415 ILCS 5/40.1(b).

12. The Act thus does not grant the Board subject-matter jurisdiction over the Petition.

13. There is no genuine issue of material fact as to the Petitioner’s failure to participate in the public hearing, and Onyx is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

WHEREFORE, Onyx requests the Board to enter an Order granting Onyx's Motion for Summary Judgment and entering judgment on Onyx's behalf with respect to the entire Petition, and for such other relief as the Board deems to be reasonable and appropriate.

**IN THE ALTERNATIVE, ONYX REQUESTS THE BOARD
TO RECONSIDER ITS JULY 22, 2004 ORDER**

14. In the alternative, Onyx requests that the Board reconsider its July 22, 2004 Order and modify it to (a) strike the Order's extension of the 120-day decision deadline regarding the Petition, (b) strike the Order's references to Janis Rosauer as the "petitioner" in this action, and (c) strike the Order's authorization for Janis Rosauer to file a petition in this action beyond the 35-day statutory deadline.

15. A motion for reconsideration brings to the Board's attention "errors in the [Board's] application of the law," among other things. *Continental Casualty Co. v. Security Ins. Co.*, 665 N.E.2d 374, 377 (1st Dist. 1996); *see also Koroglyuan v. Chicago Title & Trust Co.*, 572 N.E.2d 1154, 1158 (1st Dist. 1991); *Citizens Against Regional Landfill v. County Board of Whiteside County*, PCB 93-156, 1993 Ill. ENV LEXIS 235 (Ill. Pol. Control Bd., March 11, 1993). In ruling on a motion for reconsideration, the Board may correct errors arising from oversight or omission. 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 101.904. The Board must also consider factors including new evidence to conclude that the Board's decision was in error. 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 101.902.

**THE BOARD HAS NO AUTHORITY TO UNILATERALLY EXTEND THE
STATUTORY 120-DAY DECISION DEADLINE**

16. The Board should revise its Order and strike the extension it purports to give to the 120-day deadline it has to decide challenges to the City's siting approval.

17. The Act requires the Board to render any decision on a challenge to local siting approval within 120 days after the petition is filed. 415 ILCS 5/40.1(a),(b). The Act does not grant the Board authority to ignore or unilaterally extend the deadline.

18. The Board's own regulations acknowledge that in accordance with Section 40.1, "only the applicant for siting may waive the decision deadline," and that unless the applicant does so "the Board will issue its decision within 120 days after the proper filing and service of a petition for review." 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 107.504; *see, e.g. Alliance for a Safe Environment v. Akron Land Corp.*, No. PCB 80-184, 1980 Ill. ENV LEXIS 237 (Oct. 30, 1980)

19. Onyx, the applicant in this matter, has not agreed to waive the Board's decision deadline and there is no support in the record for an extension of the 120-day deadline.

20. The Board exceeded its statutory authority when it agreed to "restart" a 120-day decision deadline period once an amended petition to review the City's siting approval is filed.

21. The Board should reconsider its Order and strike the provision purporting to "restart" the 120-day decision period.

**THE BOARD CANNOT ALLOW A BATAVIA RESIDENT
TO FILE A PETITION AFTER THE STATUTORY FILING DEADLINE**

22. The Order's mistaken identification of "one Batavia resident, Janis Rosauer" as the Petitioner lacks support, and the Board should strike from the Order both any reference identifying Ms. Rosauer as the petitioner, and the Order's allowance of time for her to file a petition beyond the 35-day time limit in the Act.

23. The Act requires eligible third-party petitioners to file a challenge to any local siting approval no later than 35 days after a final decision. 415 ILCS 5/40.1(b).

24. The Petitioner clearly identifies itself as "Batavia, Illinois Residents Opposed To Siting Of Waste Transfer Station" in the Petition. While Ms. Rosauer signed the notice of filing, she did not sign the Petition and her name does not appear anywhere in it. There is no support for a conclusion that a person who merely signs a notice of filing may be deemed to be a petitioner for purposes of cleaning up defects in a petition.

25. There is no evidence in the record that Ms. Rosauer, in her individual capacity, brought any petition to review the City's decision within the 35-day time limit. Aff. at ¶¶ 9-10.

26. Ms. Rosauer did not participate in the public hearing held from April 12 through 16, 2004. *Id.* at ¶¶ 9-10. Section 40.1(b) thus bars her from filing a petition at any time, and the Board lacks jurisdiction over any petition Ms. Rosauer files in the future.

27. Additionally, since Ms. Rosauer did not file a petition within 35 days of the City's June 7, 2004 decision, Section 40.1(b) bars her from filing one now. Section 40.1(b) likewise fails to authorize the Board to review a petition filed more than 35 days after the decision, and the Board will therefore lack subject-matter jurisdiction over any petition Ms. Rosauer files in the future.

28. It would therefore be futile for the Board to authorize Ms. Rosauer to file a petition to review the City's siting approval at any time, because once filed the Board would have no subject matter jurisdiction over it.

29. The Board should reconsider its Order and strike any reference to Ms. Rosauer as the petitioner, and the permission it purports to give Ms. Rosauer to file an untimely petition to review the City's decision.

WHEREFORE, Respondent Onyx Waste Services Midwest, Inc. respectfully requests that the Board grant Onyx's Motion For Summary Judgment, and enter judgment in Onyx's favor as to the entire Petition. In the alternative, Respondent respectfully requests the Board to reconsider its July 22, 2004 Order and (a) strike its purported extension of its statutory 120-day decision deadline; (b) strike any reference identifying Janis Rosauer as the Petitioner; (c) strike the permission it seeks to give Janis Rosauer to file a petition following expiration of the 35-day deadline to challenge local siting decisions; and (d) for such other relief as the Board deems to be reasonable and appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,
ONYX WASTE SERVICES MIDWEST,
INC.

By:


One of its attorneys

Gerald P. Callaghan
Paul A. Duffy
Freeborn & Peters LLP
311 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60611

Date: August 23, 2004

625075.3

Exhibit A

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

**BATAVIA, ILLINOIS RESIDENTS)
OPPOSED TO SITING OF WASTE)
TRANSFER STATION)**

Petitioner,)

v.)

**APPLICATION OF ONYX WASTE)
SERVICES MIDWEST, INC. FOR)
LOCAL SITING APPROVAL)
FOR A SOLID WASTE)
TRANSFER STATION)**

and)

CITY OF BATAVIA, ILLINOIS)

Respondents.)

**PCB 05-1
(Third-Party Pollution Control Facility
Siting Appeal)**

AFFIDAVIT OF RANDY RECKLAUS

1. My name is Randy Recklaus. I am Assistant City Administrator of the City of Batavia ("Batavia" or the "City"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein.

2. In connection with my position as Assistant City Administrator, I was involved in the City's handling of Onyx Waste Services Midwest, Inc.'s ("Onyx") "Application for Local Siting Approval" ("Application") seeking approval to construct a solid waste transfer station ("Site") in Batavia.

3. Onyx filed its Application on December 19, 2003. Pursuant to Section 6(A) of the City's Siting Ordinance for Pollution Control Facilities, Ordinance No. 02-10, as amended ("Siting Ordinance"), the Mayor of Batavia appointed a Pollution Control Facility Committee

("PCF Committee") to conduct a public hearing (the "Public Hearing") for the Application. The PCF Committee consisted of seven City Council members.

4. The PCF Committee held the Public Hearing regarding the Application from April 12 through April 16, 2004.

5. I attended the entire Public Hearing from beginning to end and on each day from April 12 through April 16, 2004, with the exception of a brief 15- to 20-minute period during which an individual named Greg Popovich was commenting.

6. After the Public Hearing and subsequent public comment period, the PCF Committee recommended approval of the Request. The City Council followed the PCF Committee's recommendations and approved the Application with conditions on June 7, 2004. The PCF Committee and City Council each specifically concluded that Onyx and the PCF Committee complied with all applicable requirements of both the Act and the Siting Ordinance.

7. With the exception of a portion of the remarks of Greg Popovich, I saw and listened to each member of the public who made any statement during the Public Hearing.

8. No individual who participated in the Public Hearing identified him- or herself as a member or representative of an entity called "Batavia, Illinois Residents Opposed To Siting Of Waste Transfer Station."

9. No individual who participated in the Public Hearing identified herself as Janis Rosauer or as a representative of Janis Rosauer.

10. In connection with my preparation of this affidavit, I reviewed the transcript of the Public Hearing. The transcript confirms the fact that no member or representative of "Batavia, Illinois Residents Opposed To Siting Of Waste Transfer Station" participated in the Public Hearing. The transcript also confirms the fact that neither Janis Rosauer nor any person

identifying him- or herself as a representative of Janis Rosauer participated in the Public Hearing.

AFFIANT SAYS NOTHING FURTHER.

By: Randy R. Recklaus
Randy Recklaus,
Assistant City Administrator

Date: 8/13/04

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to
before me this 13 day of August, 2004.

Jeanette Armbrust
Notary Public



623956